

The Pay Equity Hearings Tribunal



Ontario

**Annual Report
2010-2011**

Table of Contents

PEHT Annual Report 2010-2011

Mandate	3
Mission Statement	3
Organizational Structure	3
Names of Appointees and Terms of Appointments	4
Operational Performance	4
Caseload Statistics.....	5
Financial Performance.....	6

Mandate

The Pay Equity Commission (the “Commission”) was established by section 27 of the *Pay Equity Act, 1987*, c.34 and is continued by subsection 27(1) of the *Pay Equity Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.P.7 as amended (the “PEA”). The Commission consists of two separate, independent parts: the Pay Equity Hearings Tribunal (the “Tribunal”) and the Pay Equity Office. The Tribunal is an adjudicative agency of the Government of Ontario responsible for adjudicating disputes that arise under the PEA. Pursuant to section 28(1) of the PEA the Tribunal is a tripartite board, composed of the Chair (Presiding Officer), a number of Vice-Chairs (Deputy Presiding Officers), and Members, equal in number, representative of employers and employees.

The Tribunal deals exclusively with issues arising under the PEA. The Tribunal has exclusive jurisdiction to determine all questions of fact or law that arise in any matter before it. The decision of the Tribunal is final and conclusive for all purposes. Decisions of the Tribunal cannot be appealed but may be judicially reviewed.

Mission Statement

The purpose of the PEA is to redress systemic gender discrimination in compensation for work performed by employees in female job classes. Its implementation contributes to a fairer and more productive workplace. The goals of the PEA can best be achieved through the co-operation of employers, bargaining agents and employees. The Tribunal is committed to encouraging settlement between the parties. The Tribunal is also committed to a hearing process that balances the need to be fair, accessible, and efficient.

Organizational Structure

The Ontario Labour Relations Board (the “OLRB”) provides administrative and institutional support to the Tribunal. The Tribunal benefits from the sophisticated administrative and legal support, as well as information technology, at the OLRB, and the potential to take advantage of the expertise of its mediators. While the Tribunal has its own complement of Vice-Chairs and Members, the Tribunal Chair, all of the Vice-Chairs and two of the current Members are cross appointed to other tribunals ensuring that the Tribunal is staffed with experienced decision-makers at a cost that is shared with other tribunals.

The Tribunal also participates in a broader, shared-services arrangement with the OLRB and the Workplace Safety and Insurance Appeals Tribunal. These adjudicative agencies share: printing and production, hearing and meeting rooms and common library services.

Names of Appointees and Terms of Appointments

NAME	CROSS-APPOINTED	POSITION	FIRST APPOINTED	TERM EXPIRES
Gee, Diane	OLRB/PSCRB	Chair	August 1, 2008	July 31, 2013
McKellar, Mary Anne	OLRB/HRT0	Vice-Chair	September 1, 1992	Jan 23, 2012
Rowan, Caroline	OLRB/HRT0	Vice-Chair	June 2, 2010	June 1, 2014
Bickley, Catherine	HRT0	Member (Employee)	April 26, 2000	April 1, 2013
Stewart-Rose, Diane		Full-Time Member (Employer) Part-Time Member (Employer)	April 3, 2002 April 3, 2004	April 2, 2003 April 2, 2013
Kvetan, Margaret		Member (Employer)	February 22, 1995	Retired December 30, 2010
Seville, Pauline		Member (Employee)	May 13, 1998	April 2, 2012
Paul, LeMay	OLRB	Member (Employer)	July 28, 2010	July 27, 2012
Kelly, Patrick	OLRB/PSCRB	Vice-Chair	May 17, 2008	May 16, 2013

Operational Performance

Upon receipt of an application, the Tribunal sends out to the parties a Confirmation of Filing confirming that the application has been filed, providing the Tribunal file number and advising the parties of the date by which a response must be filed. A confirmation of filing was sent out by the Tribunal in all applications filed in 2010-11.

There were 13 active files that carried over from the previous fiscal year. Of those 13 files, eight were disposed of in the 2010 – 2011 fiscal year. Of the five that remain, four are currently being heard by the Tribunal and a decision is pending in the fifth.

The Tribunal received 22 new applications in the 2010- 2011 fiscal year. Eight of those files have been disposed of. Of the 14 applications that remain, all have been scheduled for a Pre-Hearing Conference or a Hearing or are deliberately being held in abeyance with the parties' concurrence pending the outcome of another file or the outcome of settlement efforts.

With respect to the adjudication of disputes, the Tribunal continues to encourage the parties to resolve their disputes without the need for adjudication. Almost every case that involves more than a single party is scheduled by the Tribunal for a Pre-Hearing Conference with the Tribunal Chair or a Vice-Chair where one of the objectives is to

explore with the parties opportunities to settle the all or a part of the dispute. The Tribunal has also recently begun to draw upon the mediation services of the Labour Relations Officers of the OLRB. Given the very specialized nature of the issues that come before the Tribunal the Tribunal's ability to utilize the mediation services of Labour Relations Officers from the OLRB is limited by the availability of an Officer with the requisite expertise.

In order to increase its efficiency and reduce the parties' costs, the Tribunal continues its efforts to reduce the number of days it takes to adjudicate a matter. The Tribunal is increasingly encouraging and directing the parties to identify any preliminary issues to be raised well in advance of the hearing with a view to determining these matters on the basis of written submissions. Further, the Tribunal is increasingly using Pre-Hearing Conferences and/or case management meetings to organize and streamline the issues in dispute so that a matter can be adjudicated as efficiently as possible. In addition, parties are increasingly being asked to exchange detailed submissions and documents well in advance of a hearing in order to make better use of available hearing time. It has been the Tribunal's experience that requiring the exchange of detailed submissions and documents in advance of the hearing not only serves to reduce hearing time but also facilitates the parties' ability to resolve some or all of the dispute.

Caseload Statistics

Fiscal Year	Caseload			Disposed of					
	Total	Pending April 1	Received Fiscal Year	Total Disposed of	Granted	Dismissed	Terminated	Settled	Pending March 31
2010-11	35	13	22	16	4	3	5	4	21
2009-10	50	28	22	37	8	4	1	24	13
2008-09	48	25	23	20	0	4	0	16	28
2007-08	34	12	22	9	2	1	0	6	25
2006-07	25	10	15	13	3	4	0	6	12
2005-06	16	5	11	6	3	2	0	1	10
2004-05	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

Definition of Terms:

- 1) Granted means that the application was, in whole or in large part, successful.
- 2) Dismissed means that the application was, in whole or in large part, not successful.
- 3) Terminated means that the application was not granted, dismissed or settled but was terminated at the parties' request or for administrative reasons such as the file remaining dormant.
- 4) Settled includes all cases where the parties, either with or without the assistance of the Tribunal, settled the dispute, as well as cases that were adjourned.

Financial Performance

In accordance with the Ministry of Labour's Delegation of Financial Authority Framework, financial authority is delegated to the Tribunal. The Chair is required to ensure that public funds are used with integrity and honesty. The Tribunal's operating budget is included in the Ministry of Labour's estimates and allocations process, and the Tribunal is required to report to the Ministry each quarter with respect to its expenditures and planned future financial commitments.

Each year, the Tribunal verifies through a Certificate of Assurance, that all of its transactions are reflected accurately and completely in the Public Accounts of Ontario, which are the annual financial statements.

Expenditure Category	2010/11 Final Budget	2010/11 Actual Expenditure	Variance \$	Variance Explanation
<i>Salary & Wages</i>	503.9	486.6	17.3	<i>Vacancy savings</i>
<i>Employee Benefits</i>	45.4	43.8	1.6	
<i>Transportation & Communication</i>	10.3	11.2	-.9	
<i>Services (including lease)</i>	49.3	40.0	9.3	<i>Lease costs 25.0</i>
<i>Supplies & Equipment</i>	5.2	.1	5.1	<i>Part of OLRB expenses</i>
Total	614.1	581.7	32.4	<i>4% budget constraint achieved</i>